→	*	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	nary 1	0/621,139	WANG ET AL.
	E	xaminer	Art Unit
	E	Emily Bernhardt	1624
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Emily Bernhardt.		(3)	
(2) Mr. DuBoff.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 August 2004		Time:	
	☐ Applicant's	s representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 53 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TI	HE GENERAI	NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet	02.112.01	TWO INC. OF WHAT WAS	, DIOOGGED.
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The ex separate reco	caminer will provide a written ord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview.
£ Bunheudl			
	Applicant/A=	nlicent's Pennagatative C'	anatura if annualist
(Examinoror E digitature)	'√hhiicauπ∀b	plicant's Representative Sig	gnature – ir appropriate)

Application No. 10/621,139

Continuation of claims discussed: Newly presented claims recite collectively certain choices for both R2 and R4. From a review of the specification (p.21 for example) for particular core being claimed, descriptive support is seen for R2 as F,Cl,Br and methoxy and for R4 as Cl, methoxy and -C(O)NHCH3. It was agreed to so amend claim 53 to reflect what specification describes ...

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Newly presented claims recite collectively certain choices for both R2 and R4. From a review of the specification (p.21 for example) for particular core being claimed, descriptive support is seen for R2 as F,Cl,Br and methoxy and for R4 as Cl, methoxy and -C(O)NHCH3. It was agreed to so amend claim 53 to reflect what specification describes ..